

IN THE MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

ORDER

Re: **Karen Lynn Lansky v John John Lansky**
Docket No. **283013**
L.C. No. **07-018871-DM**

Henry William Saad, Chief Judge, acting under MCR 7.203(F)(1) and 7.216(A)(10),
orders:

The claim of appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction because the judgment of divorce is not a final judgment as defined by MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). The jurisdictional problem in this case involves the real property. That paragraph does not establish how the proceeds are to be divided. No percentage amount is given, nor is there any specific amount given. Furthermore, the judgment of divorce ends with the statement "This Court shall retain jurisdiction to interpret and enforce any and all provisions of this Order." Therefore, as to the real property the judgment of divorce does not adjudicate the rights and liabilities of the parties as required by MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i).



A true copy entered and certified by Sandra Schultz Mengel, Chief Clerk, on

JAN 30 2008

Date

Sandra Schultz Mengel
Chief Clerk